1	OSVALDO E. FUMO, ESQ.		
2	Nevada bar No. 5956 PITARO & FUMO, CHTD.		
-	601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTI	H	
3	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101		
4	Phone: 702.474.7554 Fax: 702-474-4210		
•	Email: kristine.fumolaw@gmail.com Attorney for Defendant		
5	EVERETT GOODEN		
6			
7		ATES DISTRICT COURT LICT OF NEVADA	
	DISTR	* * *	
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA	
10)	
10	DI : .:cc)	
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
12	v.) CONTINUE SENTENCING	
	EVERETT GOODEN,		
13	EVERETT GOODEN,)	
14) (Third Daywest)	
	Defendant.) (Third Request)	
15		_)	
16			
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between EVERETT GOODEN, Defendant, by		
	and through his counsel OSVALDO E. EU	JMO, ESQ, and the United States of America, KEVIN	
18	and through his counsel OS v ALDO E. I'c	owo, ESQ, and the Officed States of America, REVIIV	
19	SCHIFF, Assistant United States Attorney	, that Sentencing in the above-captioned matter	
20		-	
20	currently scheduled for April 3, 2020, at the	the hour of 10:30 a.m., be vacated and continued to 60	
21	days or to a date and time to be set by this	Unarable Court	
22	days of to a date and time to be set by this	Honorable Court.	
	This Stipulation is entered into for	the following reasons:	
23	-		
24	Counsel for defendant has spok	ten to his client and he has no objection to this	
25	continuance.		
	Continuance.		
26	2. Defendant Gooden is currently in custody in Pahrump and does not object to the		
27			
20	continuance.		

- Counsel has spoken to AUSA Kevin Schiff and he has no objection to the continuance.
- 4. Counsel will need additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing and confer with his client regarding the issues and concerns he has on the presentence investigation report.
- 5. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.

///

///

///

///

1	8. This is the third request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.		
2	DATED this 27th day of March 2020.		
3			
4	PITARO & FUMO, CHTD.	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
5			
6			
7	OSVALDO E. FUMO, ESQ.	/S/ KEVIN SCHIFF, ESQ.	
8	601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTH	ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
9	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT	501 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH. #1100 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	
10	EVERETT GOODEN		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA
Plaintiff, v.))) FINDINGS OF FACT AND) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
EVERETT GOODEN,)))
Defendant.) (First Request)))

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds:

- Counsel for defendant has spoken to his client and he has no objection to this
 continuance.
- 2. Defendant Gooden is currently in custody in Pahrump and does not object to the continuance.
- 3. Counsel has spoken to AUSA Kevin Schiff and he has no objection to the continuance.
- 4. Counsel will need additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing and confer with his client regarding the issues and concerns he has on the presentence investigation report.
- 5. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.
- 8. This is the third request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The end of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(8) (A), considering the factors under title 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(8)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(8)(B)(iv).

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Sentencing currently scheduled for April 3, 2020 at the hour of 10:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to this 12th day of June , 2020 at the hour of 10 a.m. in Courtroom 6A.

DATED March 30, 2020.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE